(S)

(54)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No. 2922 of 2007

Petitioners

1. Sadhram Rathiya S/o Shri Jethuram Rthiya, aged about 43 years, Working as Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary School Kurmibhauna, Block Gharghoda, Dist. Raigarh (C.G.)

2. Harishchandra Sao S/o Shri Balaram Sao, aged about 41 years, Working as Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary School Patrapali, Block Gharghoda, Dist. Raigarh (C.G.)

3. Chakradhar Singh Patel S/o Shri Kashiram Patel, aged about 49 years, Working as Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary School Auramuda, Block Gharghoda, Dist. Raigarh (C.G.)

4. Laxmikant Shriwas S/o Shri Shiv Prasad Shirvas, aged about 42 years, Working as Assistant Teacher, Govt. S.C. Balak Ashram School-Bhathagaon (Kosir), Block Sarangarh, Dist. Raigarh (C.G.)

5. Hemlal Gopal S/o Shri Chhabilal Gopal, aged about 44 years, Working as Assistant Teacher, Govt. S.C. Balak Ashram School-Basinbahra, Block Sarangarh, Dist. Raigarh (C.G.)

6. Mayaram Anant S/o Shri Bhagat Ram Anant, aged about 47 years, Working as Assistant Teacher, Govt. Middle School-Kaya, Post Kaya, Via-Lailunga, Dist. Raigarh (C.G.)

Versus

Respondents

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through the Secretary, Department of Tribal Welfare, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. Assistant Commissioner, Tribal Welfare Department, Raigarh, Tahsil and District (C.G.)

WRIT PETITON UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION

60

SB : Hon'ble Justice Shri Satish K. Agnihotri

Present: Mr. Santosh K. Tiwari, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Vinay Pandey, Panel lawyer for the respondents/State.

ORDER
(Passed on this 9th day of May, 2007)

1. The question of law involved herein is asto whether the petitioners are entitled to regular pay scale w.e.f. the date of initial appointment or from the date of completion of probation period.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present writ petition are the same to that of in W.P. (S) No. 5976/2006 (**Sushil Tiwari and others Vs. State Government of Chhattisgarh and others**), which was allowed vide order dated 7.11.2006.
3. In view of the above submissions made by learned counsel appearing for the parties, the writ petition is allowed in the same terms. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

B/-